Serial No. - 10/625.885

Docket No. - UVD 0279 IA / UD 267

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-77, and 79-106 were pending in the present application. Claims 1, 42, 46, 76, 77, 91, and 102-105 have been amended. As a result of this amendment, claims 1, 3-77, and 79-106 remain pending. Reexamination and reconsideration are requested in light of the accompanying amendments and remarks.

The rejection of claims 1, 3-77, and 79-106 under 33 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by PCT publication WO 98/48075 (treated as equivalent to U.S. Patent No. 6,200,672 to Tadokoro) is respectfully traversed. Tadokoro teaches "a surface treated metal sheet which is coated with a layer comprising as main components, a complex and/or salt between a rare earth metal element and an organic compound having in the molecule one or more functional groups selected from among -O-, =O, -OH, -COOH, -NH₂, -NH, =N-, -SH, -SO₃H and phosphoric groups, and a matrix which physically holds the above and has adhesive power for metal sheets, as well as a metal surface treatment solution used therefore." Abstract.

Although Tadokoro mentions the use of tetravalent cerium, it does not teach that "at least one rare earth element is in the tetravalent oxidation state in the rare earth/valence stabilizer complex in the pigment composition," as in claims 1, 46, 77, and 102-105. The fact that tetravalent cerium is present in the starting solution, as shown in the Examples and Tables 1 and 2, does not mean it is present in the complex in the pigment composition. Tetravalent cerium compounds in solution can easily form trivalent compounds in formed films or react to form other compounds. The anticorrosion performance of coatings that contain complexes of trivalent rare earths and of tetravalent rare earths is notably different. With complexes containing trivalent rare earths, the formed coating is simply a barrier film which prevents corrosive species from reaching the metal.

Tadokoro teaches that the valency of the rare earth metals does not matter.

Serial No. - 10/625.885

Docket No. - UVD 0279 IA / UD 267

Rare earth metal elements have anti-corrosion functions, although the mechanism thereof is not clear. Any rare earth metal element may be used in the rare earth metal complex (i.e. the complex and/or salt of the organic compound and rare earth element) used for the invention, and there are no particular restrictions on the valency of the rare earth metal at the time the rare earth metal complex is formed. Lanthanoids and/or yttrium are preferred from the standpoint of ease of handling, while from an economical standpoint, lanthanum or cerium is preferred, and tetravalent cerium which also has oxidizing power is even more preferred.

Col. 4, line 65 to col. 5, line 9.

Furthermore, the action of the organic species in Tadokoro is different from that of the claimed invention.

Since such an organic compound adheres to metal surfaces, it can effectively supply the rare earth metal to the metal sheet surface and, even after dissociating from the rare earth metal element in the layer when corrosion proceeds, it forms a complex with the dissolved metal component of the metal sheet and precipitates, thus inhibiting further ionization of the metal sheet.

Col. 6, lines 51-59. Thus, the organic species in Tadokoro are introduced such that they bind with the metal ions supplied by the corroding metal itself. In contrast, the valence stabilizers of the present invention are introduced to form stable tetravalent rare earth species in the formed coating.

The examiner stated that Tadokoro "in Table 2, shows exemplary tetravalent cerium salts of cerium [sic] with 2-hydroxynicotinic acid. See Complex Nos. 50-60 in Table 2, as well as Complex Nos. 62-64, in which complexes of tetravalent cerium with γ -cyclodextrin are also depicted." However, Tadokoro is a non-enabling reference which does not anticipate the claimed invention.

Serial No. - 10/625.885

Docket No. - UVD 0279 IA / UD 267

"[A] § 102(b) reference must sufficiently describe the claimed invention to have placed the public in possession of it.... [E] ven if the claimed invention is disclosed in a printed publication, that disclosure will not suffice as prior art if it was not enabling...." Paperless Accounting, Inc. v. Bay Area Rapid Transit System, 804 F.2d 659, 665, 231 USPQ 649, 653 (Fed. Cir. 1986); See also, Akzo N.V. v. U.S.I.T.C., 808 F.2d 1471, 1479, 1 USPQ2d 1241, 1245 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Although patents are presumed to be enabling, the presumption can be rebutted. MPEP 2121.02.

Applicants repeated Tadokoro's experiments with catechol, dextrose (as a close surrogate for γ-cyclodextrin), and 2-hydroxynicotinic acid which were said in Tadokoro to produce tetravalent rare earth metal complexes, as well as with salicylic acid which is slightly less soluble than 2-hydroxynicotinic acid. Following Tadokoro's process, catechol yielded a precipitate which did not contain either tetravalent cerium or trivalent cerium. 2-hydroxynicotinic acid and salicylic acid produced precipitates containing cerium III. Dextrose did not produce any precipitate, indicating that this complex is highly water soluble. As a result, it does not meet the solubility requirements of the claims and is unsuitable for use in a pigment composition. None of the reactions produced a cerium IV complex. See Declaration of Jeffrey A. Sturgill.

Thus, Tadokoro is a non-enabling reference and it would take undue experimentation to produce a tetravalent cerium complex using Tadokoro's disclosure. Therefore, Tadokoro does not anticipate the claimed invention.

As to claims 6 and 82, Tadokoro does not teach that "the rare earth/valence stabilizer complex decomposes above about 100°C," as claimed. Tadokoro does not mention the decomposition temperature of the rare earth metal complex.

With respect to claims 7 and 83, Tadokoro does not teach that "the rare earth/valence stabilizer complex melts above about 50°C," as claimed. Tadokoro does not discuss the melting temperature of the rare earth metal complex.

Serial No. - 10/625.885

Docket No. - UVD 0279 IA / UD 267

With respect to claims 8-9, Tadokoro does not teach that the "rare earth/valence stabilizer complex has a central cavity containing a cerium, praseodymium, or terbium ion and an additional ion." As discussed on p. 169, lines 1-15, the central cavity of the heteropolymetallates can contain an ion in addition to cerium. Examples include silicomolybdates, phosphomolybdates, silicotungstates, and phosphotungstates. In these complexes, the central cavity contains a Si⁺⁴ or P⁺⁵ ion in addition to the cerium ion. The molybdenum or tungsten is not in the central cavity; rather, it forms the central cavity. Tadokoro does not teach such an arrangement.

As to claims 11-12, Tadokoro does not teach an inorganic valence stabilizer. According to the examiner, "[a]t col. 9, line 26 to col. 10, line 9 of Tadokoro et al., the reference discusses employing oxyacid compounds of the rare earth metal elements (which encompasses compounds formed between anions such as phosphate, tungstate, vanadate, etc., with rare earth metals) to form inorganic-based corrosion resistance chemical treatment layers." However, the discussion at col. 9, line 26 to col. 10, line 9 relates to the matrix, not the rare earth metal complex. See col. 7, lines 46-60, col. 9, lines 26-43, col. 10, lines 4-8, col. 12, line 43 to col. 13, line 42.

Tadokoro teaches "a surface treated metal sheet which is coated with a layer comprising as main components, a *complex and/or salt* between a rare earth metal element and *an organic compound* having in the molecule one or more functional groups selected from among -O-, =O, -OH, -COOH, -NH₂, -NH, =N-, -SH, -SO₃H and phosphoric groups, and a *matrix which physically holds the above and has adhesive power for metal sheets*, as well as a metal surface treatment solution used therefore." Abstract; see col. 3, lines 12-20 and 61-67, col. 13, lines 43-55, and Tables I and 2.

Tadokoro does not teach that the valence stabilizer is an inorganic valence stabilizer, nor does it teach the specific inorganic valence stabilizers of claims 11-12. Tadokoro's complex or salt is between a rare earth element and an *organic* compound. See Abstract; col. 2, lines 38-46,

Serial No. - 10/625.885

Docket No. - UVD 0279 IA / UD 267

52-61; col. 2, lines 12-20, 33-39, and 61-67; col. 4, lines 13-20; col. 5, lines 10-31; col. 6, line 40 to col. 7, line 13.

With respect to claims 31-33, Tadokoro does not teach that the "solubility in water of the rare earth/valence stabilizer complex is adjusted by an addition of a substituent group on the organic valence stabilizer," as claimed. Nor does it teach increasing or decreasing the solubility using the specific groups of claims 32 and 33.

As to claims 34-35, Tadokoro does not teach that the "electrostatic barrier layer of the rare earth/valence stabilizer complex is adjusted by an addition of a substituent group on the organic valence stabilizer," as claimed, or the specific substituent groups of claim 35.

With respect to claims 36-41, 62-72, and 85-90, Tadokoro does not teach the use of solubility control agents, nor does it teach the specific ones in claims 38-41, 64-67, and 87-90. As discussed at p. 337, line 5 to p. 342, line 13, one of the roles of the valence stabilizer is to allow for formation of a tetravalent cerium, praseodymium, or terbium complex that has a specific solubility range. The anions or cations present in the coating solution may be sufficient to form a Ce⁺⁴, Pr⁺⁴, or Tb⁺⁴-containing compound within the conversion coating that exhibits the desired solubility characteristics. However, the initial formation of the pigment may produce Ce⁺⁴, Pr⁺⁴, or Tb⁺⁴ compounds with solubilities greater than optimal, and the use of additional solubility control agents may be desirable.

As to claims 42, 76, and 91, Tadokoro does not teach that "the rare earth/valence stabilizer complex is adsorbed or precipitated onto an inert medium selected from oxides, hydroxides, phosphates, borates, silicates, carbonates, aluminates, titanates, molybdates, tungstates, oxalates, polymers, or combinations thereof," as claimed.

With respect to claims 43-45 and 92-94, Tadokoro does not teach that "the pigment is colored," that "the pigment exhibits a color change between trivalent and tetravalent oxidation states," or that "the pigment is light-fast," as claimed.

Serial No. - 10/625.885

Docket No. - UVD 0279 IA / UD 267

With respect to claims 48-52, Tadokoro does not teach "oxidizing the rare earth source to obtain the at least one rare earth source in the tetravalent oxidation state," or the methods and oxidizers claimed

Therefore, claims 1, 3-77, and 79-104 are not anticipated by Tadokoro.

The rejection of claims 1, 3-77, and 79-106 under 33 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by DePue (U.S. Patent No. 5,322,560) is respectfully traversed. DePue teaches a corrosion inhibitor compound for treating aluminum flake pigment. The corrosion inhibitor compound is the reaction product of a water-soluble metal salt including metals selected from the group consisting of yttrium and rare earth metals and an anionic metal salt including transition metal oxo-complexes and soluble salts of silicon and mixtures thereof. Abstract.

According to the examiner, DePue teaches a "corrosion inhibitor that is the reaction product of a water-soluble metal salt (including metals selected from yttrium and rare earth metals) and an anionic metal salt including transition metal oxo-complexes and soluble salts of silicon and mixtures thereof. See the Abstract of DePue et al., as well as col. 2, lines 27-36, in which DePue et al. disclose titanium, vanadium, chromium, zirconium, niobium, molybdenum, hafnium, tantalum, tungsten, and mixtures thereof as exemplary transition metals (see also col. 3, lines 1-22 of DePue et al., which also discloses that the inhibitor in "slightly water-soluble", defined as no more than 10⁻³ molar concentration in a saturated aqueous solution. The corrosion inhibitors are useful when used in combination with water-soluble salts of yttrium, cerium, and tetravalent cerium."

DePue does not teach that "at least one rare earth element is in the tetravalent oxidation state in the rare earth/valence stabilizer complex," as in claims 1, 46, 77, and 102-106. DePue's corrosion inhibitors are the "reaction product of water-soluble compounds including yttrium, lanthanum, or rare earth metals of the lanthanide series (i.e. atomic numbers of from 58 to 71) and an anionic metal complex including a soluble salt of silicon or of transition metal oxocomplexes...." Col. 2, lines 27-32.

Serial No. - 10/625.885

Docket No. - UVD 0279 IA / UD 267

According to the examiner, "the tetravalent cerium salts disclosed by DePue et al. are considered to read upon the claimed 'oxidation state.'" However, salts and complexes are different types of compounds. A salt is an ionic compound composed of cations and anions so that the product is neutral. A complex is a structure consisting of a central atom or molecule surrounded by atoms or molecules which are generally bound to the metal ion by a coordinate covalent bond. Therefore, the tetravalent cerium salts of DePue do not meet the limitation that "at least one rare earth element is in the tetravalent oxidation state in the rare earth/valence stabilizer complex." as claimed.

The only tetravalent cerium compounds described in DePue are salts, not rare earth/valence stabilizer complexes, as claimed.

The corrosion inhibitors of the present invention are also useful when used in combination with water-soluble metal salts of yttrium and the rare earth metals. Particularly useful salts include trivalent cerium, yttrium and lanthanum salts and tetravalent cerium salts.

Col. 2, lines 56-60. These salts are discussed further at col. 4, lines 34-55.

The slightly soluble corrosion inhibitor of the present invention is also useful in aqueous coating compositions containing aluminum flake pigment. The corrosion inhibitor is particularly effective in coating compositions when used as a secondary corrosion inhibitor in combination with a primary corrosion inhibitor which is a water-soluble salt of trivalent or tetravalent salt of cerium, yttrium or lanthanum. Water-soluble salts useful as a primary corrosion inhibitor compound for treating the aluminum pigment include cerium sulfate, cerium triacetate, cerium isopropoxide, ammonium cerium nitrate, yttrium triacetate, lanthanum triacetate and cerium nitrate.

When used in combination in a coating composition, the water soluble metal salt used as the primary corrosion inhibitor is present in an amount of between 0.01% and 5.0% by weight based on total pigment weight and the slightly soluble salt used as the

Serial No. - 10/625.885

Docket No. - UVD 0279 IA / UD 267

secondary corrosion inhibitor is used in an amount between 0.01% and 5.0% by weight based on the combined weight of the corrosion inhibitor and pigment.

DePue's tetravalent cerium salts are highly soluble in water, and fall above the solubility parameters specified in the claims. In fact, several of the salts mentioned are identified in the application as being suitable cerium sources: cerium sulfate, cerium triacetate, ammonium cerium nitrate and cerium nitrate. See p. 13, line 23 to p. 14, line 12.

In addition, the action of DePue's corrosion inhibitor is different from that of the claimed invention. Most of the time, DePue's corrosion inhibitor is unreacted in the form of a precipitate due to its relatively insoluble nature. It is believed that the precipitate provides an additional source of rare earth metal to form a metal oxide protective coating at any site left unprotected by the metal oxide film provided by the more soluble trivalent and tetravalent salts of the primary corrosion inhibitor. Col. 4, line 56 to col. 5, line 9. The valence stabilizers of the present invention are introduced to form stable tetravalent rare earth complexes in the pigment composition.

As to claims 6 and 82, DePue does not teach that "the rare earth/valence stabilizer complex decomposes above about 100°C," as claimed. DePue does not mention the decomposition temperature of the corrosion inhibitor.

With respect to claims 7 and 83, DePue does not teach that "the rare earth/valence stabilizer complex melts above about 50°C," as claimed. DePue does not discuss the melting temperature of the corrosion inhibitor.

With respect to claims 8-9, DePue does not teach that the "rare earth/valence stabilizer complex has a central cavity containing a cerium, praseodymium, or terbium ion and an additional ion." As discussed on p. 169, lines 1-15, the central cavity of the heteropolymetallates can contain an ion in addition to cerium. Examples include silicomolybdates, phosphomolybdates, silicotungstates, and phosphotungstates. In these complexes, the central cavity contains a Si⁺⁴ or P⁺⁵ ion in addition to the cerium ion. The molybdenum or tungsten is

Serial No. - 10/625.885

Docket No. - UVD 0279 IA / UD 267

not in the central cavity; rather, it forms the central cavity. DePue does not teach such an arrangement.

With respect to claims 13-30, DePue does not teach any organic valence stabilizers, nor does it teach those specified in claims 13-30.

With respect to claims 31-33, DePue does not teach that the "solubility in water of the rare earth/valence stabilizer complex is adjusted by an addition of a substituent group on the organic valence stabilizer," as claimed. Nor does it teach increasing or decreasing the solubility using the specific groups claims 32 and 33.

As to claims 34-35, DePue does not teach that the "electrostatic barrier layer of the rare earth/valence stabilizer complex is adjusted by an addition of a substituent group on the organic valence stabilizer," as claimed, or the specific substituent groups of claim 35.

With respect to claims 36-41, 62-72, and 85-90, DePue does not teach the use of solubility control agents, nor does it teach the specific ones in claims 38-41, 64-67, and 87-90.

As to claims 42, 76, and 91, DePue does not teach that "the rare earth/valence stabilizer complex is adsorbed or precipitated onto an inert medium selected from oxides, hydroxides, phosphates, borates, silicates, carbonates, aluminates, titanates, molybdates, tungstates, oxalates, polymers, or combinations thereof," as in claims 42 and 91, or "adsorbing or precipitating the rare earth/valence stabilizer complex onto an inert medium selected from oxides, hydroxides, phosphates, borates, silicates, carbonates, aluminates, titanates, molybdates, tungstates, oxalates, polymers, or combinations thereof," as in claim 76.

With respect to claims 43-45 and 92-94, DePue does not teach that "the pigment is colored," that "the pigment exhibits a color change between trivalent and tetravalent oxidation states," or that "the pigment is light-fast," as claimed.

With respect to claims 48-52, DePue does not teach "oxidizing the rare earth source to obtain the at least one rare earth source in the tetravalent oxidation state," or the methods and oxidizers claimed.

Serial No. - 10/625,885

Docket No. - UVD 0279 IA / UD 267

Therefore, claims 1, 3-77, and 79-106 are not anticipated by DePue.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that, in view of the above amendment and remarks, the application is now in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request that claims 1, 3-77, and 79-106 be passed to allowance.

In any event, applicants request entry of the amendment because it presents the claims in better form for appeal.

If the Examiner has any questions or comments regarding the present application, he is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted, DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP

By /Patricia L. Prior/
Patricia L. Prior
Registration No. 33,758

By /Paul M. Ulrich/ Registration No. 46,404

One Dayton Centre One South Main Street, Suite 1300 Dayton, Ohio 45402-2023 Telephone: (937) 449-6400 Facsimile: (937) 449-6405

PLP:das Encls.